

RECEIVED
JAN 1 7 2005

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EX	AMINING AUTHORITY	,	
To: JANE MASSEY LICATA LICATA & TYRRELL P.C. 66 E. MAIN STREET			PCT
MARLTON, NJ 08053	et System		WRITTEN OPINION
Status Docke	Report		(PCT Rule 66)
	red Np	Date of Mailing (day/month/year)	13 JAN 2005
Applicant's or agent's file reference		REPLY DUE	within 1 months/days from
UMD-0012			the above date of mailing
International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/US03/05041	21 February 2003 (21.0		21 February 2002 (21.02.2002)
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or both national classifica	tion and IPC	
IPC(7): A61K 38/00; C07K 1/00, 14/00	, 17/00 and US Cl.: 530/	324, 350	
Applicant			
UNIVERSITY OF MEDICINE AND D	ENTISTRY OF NEW JE	RSEY	
This written opinion is the fir	st (first, etc.) drawn by	this International Pre	liminary Examining Authority.
2. This opinion contains indicati	ons relating to the follow	ing items:	
I Basis of the opinion	on		and the second s
II Priority		y galanda goring.	
5	t of opinion with regard to	novelty, inventive s	tep and industrial applicability
IV Lack of unity of in	nvention		•
	nt under Rule 66.2 (a)(ii) anations supporting such s		y, inventive step or industrial applicability;
VI Certain documents	s cited		
VII Certain defects in	the international applicati	on ·	
VIII Certain observatio	ns on the international ap	plication	
3. The applicant is hereby invite	ed to reply to this opinion	ı .	
	imit indicated above. The to grant an extension. Se		re the expiration of that time limit, request
	a written reply, accompa and the language of the an		ate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. 66.8 and 66.9.
For the exami	nal opportunity to submit ner's obligation to conside al communication with the	er amendments and/o	r arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis.
If no reply is filed, the intern	national preliminary exam	ination report will be	established on the basis of this opinion.
 The final date by which the ir examination report must be ex 		ale 69.2 is: <u>21 June 2</u>	004(21.06.2004)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA	/US	Authorized offiger	
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450	grade Inches Volen	Teffrey Stucker	2 Sautrence for
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 Telephone No. 571.272.1600			1.272.1600

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet)(July 1998)



Internatio plication No.
PCT/US03/05041

I.	Basis of the opinion
1.	With regard to the elements of the international application:*
	the international application as originally filed the description: pages 1-11, as originally filed pages NONE, filed with the demand pages NONE, filed with the letter of
	the claims: pages 12 and 13 pages NONE the drawings: , as originally filed pages to a samended (together with any statement) under Article 19 filed with the demand pages NONE the drawings:
	pages NONE , as originally filed pages NONE , filed with the demand pages NONE , filed with the letter of
	the sequence listing part of the description: pages 1-2, as originally filed pages NONE, filed with the demand pages NONE, filed with the letter of
2.	With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:
	the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination(under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).
	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written opinion was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing:
	contained in the international application in printed form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing
4.	has been furnished. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
5.	the description, pages NONE the claims, Nos. NONE the drawings, sheets/fig NONE This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
	Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in sopinion as "originally filed."



International	application	No.

PCT/US03/05041

III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
1. The question whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:
the entire international application,
Claims Nos. 4-19
because:
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
the said international application, or the said claim Nos relate to the following subject matter which does not require international preliminary examination (specify):
\cdot
the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos are so unclear
that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 4-19.
2. A written opinion cannot be drawn due to the failure of the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:
the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.
the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.
orm PCT/IPEA/408 (Box III) (July 1998)



International application No. PCT/US03/05041

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(i	i) with regard to novelty, inventive st	ep or industrial applicability;
citations and explanations supporting su	h statement	
1. STATEMENT		
Novelty (N)	Claims NONE	YES
	Claims 1-3	NONO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims NONE	YES
	Claims 1-3	NO
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claima 1.2	YES
industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims 1-3 Claims NONE	NO NO
2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS Claims 1-3 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as sequence is a variant of Tat with asparagine in positi Claims 1-3 lack an inventive step under PCT Article invention is known in the art cannot be said to have a claims 1-3 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 3 be made or used in industry.	on 23. Therefore, the claims are anticipated as 33(3) as being obvious over NCBI databased in inventive step.	ted by the prior art. e accession No. P05908. The claimed
·		
		,
		,
·		
·		

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (Box V) (July 1998)



Interna 1 application No. PCT/US03/05041

	Supplemental Box (To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)
_	(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficiently
	TIME LIMIT:
	The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion may not be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received after the expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion will not be considered in preparing the International Preliminary Examination
	Report.